

The Stellar Winds of Galactic Centre and the Low Accretion Rate of Sgr A*

R.F. Coker

Department of Physics and Astronomy,
University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT UK
email: robc@ast.leeds.ac.uk

Received 5 June 2001 / Accepted 18 June 2001

Abstract. An attempt is made to reconcile the large wind-loss rates of stars in the Galactic Centre (GC) with the predicted low accretion rate for Sgr A*, the putative blackhole at the heart of the Milky Way. It is found that, independent of the details of the accretion, the bound but unaccreted gas has been accumulating in the potential well of Sgr A* for $\lesssim 10^3$ yrs and thus is not in equilibrium. Otherwise, the gas flows of the region would be visible in both the IR and X-ray. It appears that the blackhole was more active in the recent past due to the passing of a supernova blast shock but is presently in a short-lived dormant phase. The extended low frequency radio emission from the central parsec should visibly increase over the next few decades, as the shock passes completely in front of the absorbing gas and dust near Sgr A*. The GC may become more active in $\lesssim 10^5$ yrs due to either another supernova or sufficient accumulation of stellar winds in the central arcsecond.

Key words. hydrodynamics – ISM: structure – stars: winds, outflows – galaxy: centre – accretion

1. Introduction

Sgr A*, the stationary, compact, nonthermal radio source at the Galactic Centre (GC), appears to be coincident with a $2.6 \pm 0.2 \times 10^6 M_\odot$ point-like object (?????). It is probable that Sgr A* is associated with the accretion of matter onto a supermassive blackhole (for a recent review of the evidence, see ?). Models for the accretion process itself vary widely, including simplistic spherical accretion (?), an advective flow (?), a convective flow (?), a compact jet (?), and a truncated power-law electron distribution (?). The true picture is probably some combination of these various models.

Deep in the potential well of Sgr A* and pervading the central parsec of the Milky Way, there exists a cluster of a few dozen early-type stars (?), which is dominated by the IRS 16 assemblage of probable Wolf-Rayet (WR) stars (?). Numerous observations and models (??????) provide evidence that this cluster is producing a composite hypersonic wind of $\dot{M}_w \gtrsim 10^{-3} \dot{M}_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$.

Some fraction of \dot{M}_w will be trapped by the supermassive blackhole located near the middle of the stellar cluster. However, all of the above models for Sgr A* require a mass accretion rate that is orders of magnitude less than \dot{M}_w . If any of these models are correct, then for the lifetime of the early-type cluster's winds and in the absence of any observed large-scale outflow from Sgr A*, some part of the cluster's winds has been accumulating

in the central parsec without being accreted by the blackhole. It is the purpose of this Letter to investigate this gas in the light of recent X-ray observations of the GC.

2. The Mass Accumulation Rate

The gas supply rate into the central few parsecs, based on stellar wind measurements, is at least $\dot{M}_w \sim 10^{-3} \dot{M}_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$. Sgr A West is an HII region in the central parsec and contains the streamers of the “mini-spiral”, while the “circumnuclear disk” (CND) is a dense, clumpy, and asymmetric ring-like feature which extends for more than 7 pc. The CND has an inner radius of $\simeq 1.5$ pc and surrounds Sgr A* and Sgr A West. Both the CND and Sgr A West may be additional sources of infalling gas (?). The Bar, part of the “mini-spiral” and located ~ 0.1 pc south of Sgr A*, may be intercepting some fraction of the cluster's winds. In sum, $\dot{M}_0 \sim 10^{-3} \dot{M}_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$ is a conservative lower limit to the total rate which gas is being supplied to the central parsecs. Most of this gas, in the absence of shocks and subsequent radiative cooling, is unbound and will carve out a central cavity within Sgr A West. A fraction of \dot{M}_0 , \dot{M}_A , is trapped but not necessarily accreted by Sgr A*.

Assuming static spherical wind sources and calculating the fraction of each star's wind that is captured by Sgr A*,

?) estimated that $\dot{M}_A \gtrsim 10^{-5} M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$. A capture radius can be defined by

$$R_A \equiv \frac{2GM}{v_w^2} \simeq 0.04 \text{ pc} \simeq 10^5 R_s \simeq 1'' , \quad (1)$$

and the Schwarzschild radius by

$$R_s \equiv 2GM/c^2 , \quad (2)$$

where c is the speed of light and $v_w (\sim 700 \text{ km s}^{-1})$ is the velocity of the supersonic wind flowing past a centralized object of mass M (taken to be $2.6 \times 10^6 M_\odot$). Stellar motions, wind-wind collisions, radiative cooling, and other unidentified wind sources may substantially alter this estimate. In addition, the mass-loss rates of the cluster members may have been overestimated by a factor of a few (?). The rotation of the cluster stars around Sgr A* (?) may result in angular momentum support of their winds (but see below) and the strong magnetic fields in the central parsec may also play a role in supporting the gas against accretion. Nonetheless, it appears that $\dot{M}_A \sim 10^{-5} M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$ is a good estimate of the minimum amount of gas that is being trapped in the central arcsecond by the potential well of Sgr A*.

According to all of the accretion models for Sgr A*, the actual accretion rate, \dot{M} , onto the blackhole is much less than \dot{M}_A . In fact, the accretion rate through a radius of $R_{\min} \sim 1 \text{ mas}$ is thought to be orders of magnitude smaller than the above estimate for \dot{M}_A . There is no evidence of any outflow from Sgr A* on scales larger than R_{\min} , so even the presence of a mini-jet or similar small-scale outflow would not alter the fact that $\gtrsim 10^{-5} M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$ is being trapped – but, seemingly, not accreted – by the gravitational potential of the blackhole. If the wind sources are WR stars as suggested by ?) (but see below), with a WR wind lifetime of $t_w \sim 10^5 \text{ yrs}$ (?), the total mass of gas that has accumulated between R_A and R_{\min} is $\sim \dot{M}_0 t_w / 30 = \dot{M}_A t_w \equiv M_g \simeq 3 M_\odot$.

Recent *Chandra* X-ray observations (?) show that the average ionized gas density $\sim 1 R_A$ from Sgr A* is only $\sim 10^2 \text{ cm}^{-3}$. If M_g has accumulated within R_A , then the average number density of the captured gas is $\bar{n} \sim 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$. The most likely explanation for this discrepancy is that $t_w \ll 10^5 \text{ yrs}$ and the GC is presently in a state of quiescence.

3. The Cyclic Feeding of Sgr A*

A few million years ago, the GC underwent a burst of star formation (?) which resulted in a number of clusters of massive stars (IRS 16, the Arches Cluster, and the Quintuplet Cluster). Remnants of this mini-starburst can still be seen in GC star-forming regions such as the “50 km/s cloud” (??). The central early-type cluster may have formed more than a parsec away from Sgr A* and then, over a million years, spiraled inward via dynamical friction (?). The disrupted remnant of the parent molecular cloud may be seen as the “ionized gas halo” which fills the central 10 parsecs (?).

Then, $\sim 10^4 \text{ yrs}$ ago, a $13\text{--}20 M_\odot$ star, located $\sim 5 \text{ pc}$ east of Sgr A*, exploded in a mixed-morphology type II supernova (SN), sweeping up the “ionized gas halo” and producing what we now see as Sgr A East (?). The eastern edge of the explosion has been confined by the “50 km/s cloud” while the western edge has interacted with Sgr A* and the central cluster. Indeed, a few thousand years ago, after overwhelming the winds from the mass-losing stars of the early-type cluster, the dense frontal shock of the explosion swept over Sgr A*, triggering a period of high accretion rate and X-ray luminosity for the blackhole (?).

This period of high accretion lasted until the ram pressure of the front shock near Sgr A* dropped beneath that of the central early-type cluster’s winds ($\sim 10^{(-7)-(-6)} \text{ dynes cm}^{-2}$). Based on SN models by ?), this “binging” by Sgr A* lasted $10^2\text{--}10^3 \text{ yrs}$. For the last thousand years or so, after the passage of the dense shell, the IRS 16 and other early-type stars have been “purging” the central parsecs of the hotter, less dense post-shock SN cavity material. Sgr A West is $\sim 1 \text{ pc}$ in radius, consistent with the volume these stars could have cleared in $\sim 10^3 \text{ yrs}$ while the arms of the “mini-spiral” are tendrils of infalling gas from this inherently unstable process. Thus, $t_w \sim 10^3 \text{ yrs}$ and $\bar{n} \sim 10^2 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, consistent with the X-ray observations. The lower density also reduces the expected IR thermal bremsstrahlung emission from the central arcsecond to below that which is observed (?). Note that radiation pressure from the early-type cluster is not likely to influence the accumulation of gas in the central arcsecond. For radiation pressure to be significant, the luminosity of the cluster, estimated to be $\lesssim 10^8 L_\odot$, would need to be larger than the Eddington luminosity for Sgr A*. This is almost certainly not the case (?).

4. Discussion

Since the trapped gas is not actually accreting onto Sgr A*, it implies that dissipation of angular momentum in the central arcsecond is, at present at least, inefficient. Over the next 10^5 yrs , the density of the ISM in the central arcsecond will increase as the winds from the early-type cluster fill the region. Eventually, sufficient dissipation may result in the formation of a more standard accretion disk, turning our Galaxy into a low level Seyfert. However, if the stars in the central few parsecs formed at the same time, it is likely that another SN will explode in less than 10^5 yrs , truncating the accumulation process and triggering another “binge and purge” cycle.

If, as recently proposed (?), the early-type cluster stars are primarily Luminous Blue Variables (LBVs) rather than WR stars, the shorter duration of the LBV phase would imply that the cluster has been producing massive winds for $\lesssim 10^4 \text{ yrs}$. However, the winds from LBVs are slower ($\sim 200 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) and more massive ($\sim 10^{-3} M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$) than WR winds, with a resulting value of \bar{n} that is still ~ 50 times larger than the previous estimate which assumed the wind sources to be WR stars. Nonetheless, if the IRS 16 stars have entered the LBV phase only within

the last few centuries, the mass of trapped gas would be sufficiently reduced to meet the X-ray observational limits. If so, Sgr A* might become significantly brighter in the next few centuries as the LBV winds continue to fill the accretion region. However, IRS 7, a red supergiant $\simeq 0.3$ pc from Sgr A*, has a tail that points away from IRS 16 and Sgr A*. Models (??) show that a GC wind of ~ 500 km s $^{-1}$ is needed to produce this tail. Such a wind would require ~ 500 yrs to reach IRS 7 from IRS 16, implying that the wind sources are not exclusively LBVs.

Another possibility is that the gas flows in the central few arcseconds are not in equilibrium due to an explosive event $\sim 10^3$ – 10^4 yrs ago. As mentioned above, Sgr A East is a probable mixed-morphology type II supernova remnant (SNR) that appears to envelope Sgr A West, the compact HII region which contains both Sgr A* and the early-type cluster (?). When the progenitor of Sgr A East, located ~ 5 pc from Sgr A*, exploded $\sim 10^4$ yrs ago, the strong frontal shock could have cleared the central parsec of its accumulation of wind as it swept by Sgr A* $\sim 10^3$ yrs ago (?). With a temperature of 2 keV and a density of ~ 10 cm $^{-3}$ (?), the pressure of the rarified gas in the cavity of the SNR is $\lesssim 10^{-7}$ dyne cm $^{-2}$ while the ram pressure due to the wind from an individual cluster member at a distance of R_A is on average $\gtrsim 10^{-6}$ dyne cm $^{-2}$. Thus, after the passage of the dense frontal shock, the cluster wind would have overwhelmed the gas in the SNR cavity and refilled the central R_A over the last $\sim 10^3$ yrs. Sgr B2, an X-ray reflection nebula near the GC, seems to have been illuminated by Sgr A* ~ 500 yrs ago, suggesting that the frontal shock passed by Sgr A* at that time, dramatically increasing the emission of the blackhole (???). The frontal shock was likely to be slow and dense enough to result in Sgr A* trapping enough gas to be accreting at near its Eddington rate for $\sim 10^3$ yrs (??).

Since IRS 7 is presently interacting with the wind from IRS 16, the central R_A is likely to be filled with IRS 16 winds. Thus, the rarified cavity wind from Sgr A East is unlikely to be the present source of accretion for Sgr A* as suggested by (?). However, if IRS 7 is interacting with a wind from Sgr A* rather than IRS 16, it would be evidence of recent activity near the blackhole, suggesting that the IRS 16 winds have been reasserting themselves only in the last few centuries, if at all. A continual outflow from Sgr A* rather than IRS 16 would clear the central arcsecond of gas but would require a fast and/or dense outflow on scales greater than R_{\min} ; there is no observational evidence of such a wind.

In any case, IRS 16C, a WR star only a few arcseconds from Sgr A*, is alone producing a sufficient wind to accumulate almost $10^{-5} M_{\odot}$ yr $^{-1}$ within R_A . Assuming the blackhole is accreting only a fraction of this, the upper limit on the time the wind from this star has been accumulating in the central arcsecond is 10^4 yrs. Since there are dozens of young massive stars with heavy winds in the central parsec, it is likely that $t_w \lesssim 10^3$ yrs.

It is thought that Sgr A West and Sgr A* lie only slightly in front of Sgr A East since the 90 cm emission

from the expanding shell of Sgr A East is seen in absorption at the location of Sgr A West (?). However, a north-south tongue of emission which cuts through Sgr A West and approaches Sgr A*, can be seen. If the proposed scenario is correct, this tongue corresponds to the leading edge of the SNR and thus should expand over the next few decades as the shell moves more completely in front of Sgr A West.

It has been assumed that the early-type cluster is not displaced from Sgr A* by more than a few arcseconds along the line of sight. Proper motion and radial velocity observations (?) support this and in fact suggest the orbits of the cluster stars are nearly Keplerian. If cluster members are on Keplerian orbits around Sgr A*, their orbital velocities might be an appreciable fraction of their wind velocities. This may add sufficient angular momentum to the winds so that a larger fraction of the gas never reaches the capture radius. However, the density of ionized gas falls off outside $\sim 1''$ (?), suggesting that only a fraction of \dot{M}_A is being trapped outside the capture radius due to support from angular momentum or magnetic fields.

5. Conclusions

A fraction of the winds from the few dozen early-type stars in the central parsec of the GC is trapped by the potential well of Sgr A* but is not currently being accreted by the blackhole. Unlike an isolated cluster, this gas has insufficient ram pressure or thermal pressure to escape so that gas has been accumulating in the central $R_A \sim 1''$ of the Galaxy. Independent of the details of the flow, the estimated density of this gas is inconsistent with IR and X-ray observations unless the accumulation has been proceeding for $\lesssim 10^3$ yrs. This implies the gas flows in the central $\sim 1''$ are not in equilibrium and the present low mass accretion rate onto Sgr A* is a short-lived phase.

Due to the tendency to form more high mass stars when deep in a potential well, as well as the early-type stars from the present mini-starburst, the SN rate in the GC is thought to be $\gtrsim 10^{-5}$ yr $^{-1}$ (?). In addition, starbursts may recur in the GC every $\sim 10^7$ – 10^8 yrs (??). If so, within each cycle of star formation, the sub-cycle of “binge and purge” may repeat on a time-scale of $\lesssim 10^5$ yrs. This episodic behaviour should appear in any galaxy with star formation near a central supermassive blackhole. Similar feedback mechanisms have been proposed for elliptical galaxies (?).

In the case of the GC, one would then expect that 1) the 90 cm emission near Sgr A* to visibly brighten over the next few decades as the shell of the SNR moves completely in front of Sgr A West, 2) the emission from Sgr A* itself should increase over the next $\gtrsim 10^3$ yrs at all frequencies as the cluster’s winds again accumulate, and 3) a SN should detonate in the next $\lesssim 10^5$ yrs, leading to a brief ($\sim 10^3$ yrs) period of high accretion and the start of the next “binge and purge” cycle.

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by PPARC and has made use of NASA's Astrophysics Data System Abstract Service. I would like to thank J.M. Pittard for *many* useful discussions and S. Markoff and B.A. Cohen for comments on the manuscript.